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Lecture #2

\[ \Psi_0(r,t) = e^{-i\mu t/\hbar} \Psi_0(r) \]

By inserting this into the GP equation

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_0(r,t) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r) + g |\Psi_0(r,t)|^2 \right] \Psi_0(r,t) \]

one finds the stationary GP equation:

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r) + g |\Psi_0(r)|^2 \right] \Psi_0(r) = \mu \Psi_0(r) \]

It gives the ground state of the condensate and all possible stationary states (vortices, solitons, etc.)
Stationary GP: BEC in a box

Example: 1D box of size $L$ and hard walls.

Solution of Schrödinger equation for free particles:

GP equation with $a > 0$

$$\Psi_0 = \sqrt{2\pi} \sin(\pi z / L)$$

average density

ideal gas

In order to stress the role of interaction in GP, let us rescale the units:

$$\Psi_0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Psi_0, \quad z \rightarrow \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2mgn}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{8\pi a n}}$$

healing length

The GP equation becomes:

$$-\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \Psi_0(z) + \Psi_0^3(z) = \Psi_0(z)$$

If $L \gg \xi$ one can use the boundary conditions:

$$\Psi_0(0) = 0, \quad \Psi_0(\infty) = 1$$

and the solution is:

$$\Psi_0(z) = \sqrt{n} \tanh \frac{z}{\sqrt{2\xi}}$$
Stationary GP: BEC in a box

\[ \xi = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m g_n}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{8 \pi g_n \omega}} \]

healing length

crucial parameter characterizing the interaction

\[ \Psi_0(z) = \sqrt{n} \tanh \frac{z}{\sqrt{2 \xi}} \]

\[ \xi \ll L \Rightarrow \text{GP predictions differ significantly from those of an ideal gas!} \]

---

Stationary GP: harmonic trap

Noninteracting ground state:

\[ \Psi_0(r) \propto \exp(-r^2/\omega a_{ho}^2) \]

\[ a_{ho} = \sqrt{\hbar / m \omega a_{ho}} \]

depends on \( \hbar \)

Role of interactions:

Using \( a_{ho} \) and \( \hbar \omega a_{ho} \) as units of lengths and energy, and

\[ \Psi = N^{-1/2} a_{ho}^{-3/2} \Psi_0 \]

normalized to 1

GP equation becomes

\[ \left[ -\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} + 8 \pi (N a / a_{ho}) \right] \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{r}) = 2 \tilde{\mu} \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{r}) \]

Thomas-Fermi parameter

\[ N a / a_{ho} << 1 \quad \text{Noninteracting ground state} \]

\[ N a / a_{ho} >> 1 \quad \text{Thomas-Fermi limit (a>0)} \]
Stationary GP: harmonic trap

If \( Na/a_{ho} \gg 1 \)

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext}(r) + g |\Psi_0(r)|^2 \right] \Psi_0(r) = \mu \Psi_0(r)
\]

and thus

\[
|\Psi_0(r)|^2 = n(r) = \frac{1}{g} [\mu - V_{ext}(r)]
\]

Thomas-Fermi density profile

In an isotropic harmonic potential the density is an inverted parabola with radius \( R = a_{ho} (15Na/a_{ho})^{1/5} \)

The chemical potential is fixed by the normalization to \( N \):

\[
\mu = g n(0) = (1/2) \hbar \omega_{ho} (15Na/a_{ho})^{2/5}
\]

The Thomas-Fermi \( Na/a_{ho} \gg 1 \) limit implies:

\( \mu \gg \hbar \omega_{ho} \), \( R \gg a_{ho} \), \( R \gg \xi \)

Stationary GP

from noninteracting to Thomas-Fermi:

Large effects due to interaction at equilibrium; good agreement with experiments

exp: Hau et al, 1998
Note: Thomas-Fermi regime is compatible with diluteness condition

Gas parameter in the center of the trap

\[ na^3 = \mu a^3 / g = 0.1(N^{1/6} a / a_{ho})^{12/5} \]

Thomas-Fermi if \( Na / a_{ho} \gg 1 \)  
Dilute gas if \( N^{1/6} a / a_{ho} \ll 1 \)

Example:

\[ a / a_{ho} = 10^{-3}, \ N = 10^6 \]
\[ Na / a_{ho} = 10^3 \]
\[ N^{1/6} a / a_{ho} = 10^{-2} \]

**Gross-Pitaevskii theory is not perturbative even if the gas is dilute (role of BEC)!**

---

**Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation**

\[ i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_0 (\mathbf{r}, t) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{r}) + g |\Psi_0 (\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \right] \Psi_0 (\mathbf{r}, t) \]

This equation can be

- Numerically solved (GP simulations)
- Linearized for small oscillations (Bogoliubov equations)
- Rewritten in terms of density and velocity (T=0 hydrodynamics)
**Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation**

Numerical integration.
Example: a BEC oscillating in a trap + optical lattice. Onset of instabilities.

![Time evolution of a BEC oscillating in a trap and optical lattice](image)

**Linearization for small oscillations**

Ansatz: \[ \Psi_0(r,t) = e^{-i\mu t}[\Psi_0(r) + u_j(r)e^{-i\omega_j t} + v_j(r)e^{i\omega_j t}] \]

Zero-order in \( u \) and \( v \):
\[
-\frac{\hbar^2\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(r) + g|\Psi_0(r)|^2 \] \[\Psi_0(r) = \mu \Psi_0(r) \]

First-order in \( u \) and \( v \):
\[
\hbar \omega_j u_j = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext} - \mu + 2gn_0 \right) u_j + g\Psi_0^2 v_j
\]
\[
-\hbar \omega_j v_j = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext} - \mu + 2gn_0 \right) v_j + g\Psi_0^2 u_j
\]

\( u \) and \( v \) are Bogoliubov quasiparticle amplitudes.

\( \hbar \omega \) are quasiparticle energies.

\( n_0 \) is the ground state density: \[ n_0(r) = |\Psi_0(r)|^2 \]
Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

Linearization for small oscillations

Ansatz:

\[ \Psi_0(r, t) = e^{-i\mu t} \left( \Psi_0(r) + u_j(r) e^{-i\omega_j t} + v_j(r) e^{i\omega_j t} \right) \]

Zero-order in \( u \) and \( v \):

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext}(r) + g |\Psi_0(r)|^2 \Psi_0(r) = \mu \Psi_0(r) \]

First-order in \( u \) and \( v \):

\[ \hbar \omega_j u_j = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext} - \mu + 2gn_0 \right) u_j + g |\Psi_0|^2 v_j \]

\[ -\hbar \omega_j v_j = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext} - \mu + 2gn_0 \right) v_j + g |\Psi_0|^2 u_j \]

Bogoliubov equations!

Note: the same equations can be also derived diagonalizing quantum Hamiltonian using Bogoliubov transformations.

interacting particles \( \rightarrow \) noninteracting quasiparticles

Bogoliubov equations

Some properties of \( u \) and \( v \):

\[ \int dr (u_i u_j^* - v_i v_j^*) = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{orthogonality and normalization} \]

\[ (\omega_j - \omega_i) \int dr (|u_i|^2 - |v_i|^2) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_j \quad \text{are real, unless} \quad \int dr |u_i|^2 = \int dr |v_i|^2 \]

occurrence of complex solutions \( \Rightarrow \) dynamic instability

If \( \Psi(t) = e^{-i\mu t} (\Psi_0 + u_j e^{-i\omega_j t} + v_j e^{i\omega_j t}) \), with \( u_j, v_j, \omega_j \), solution of Bogoliubov eqs., then the energy change with respect to equilibrium is:

\[ \delta E = \hbar \omega_j \int dr \left( |u_j|^2 - |v_j|^2 \right) \]

Condition of energetic stability \( \delta E > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_j \int dr (|u_j|^2 - |v_j|^2) > 0 \)
Solutions of Bogoliubov equations in a uniform gas: $u, V \propto e^{iqr}$

\[
\omega^2 = \hbar^2 \left( \frac{q^2}{2m} \right)^2 + q^2 c^2 \quad \text{with} \quad c = \sqrt{\frac{g n_0}{m}}
\]

Wavelength of the oscillation:

\[
\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{q}
\]

to be compared with the healing length

\[
\xi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2mg n_0}} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2mc}}
\]

\[\text{Solutions of Bogoliubov equations in a uniform gas: Bogoliubov dispersion law}\]

Experiments (Davidson et al.):

\[\text{Rev. of Mod. Phys. 77, 187 (2005)}\]
Bogoliubov equations

Solutions of Bogoliubov equations in a uniform gas: \( u, v \propto e^{iqr} \)

**Bogoliubov dispersion law**

\[
\omega^2 = \hbar^2 \left( \frac{q^2}{2m} \right)^2 + q^2 c^2 \quad \text{with} \quad c = \sqrt{\frac{g n_0}{m}}
\]

In nonuniform systems: numerical solutions

\[
\begin{align*}
\hbar \omega u_j &= \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} - \mu + 2gn_0 \right) u_j + g \Psi^2_0 v_j \\
-\hbar \omega v_j &= \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} - \mu + 2gn_0 \right) v_j + g \Psi^2_0 u_j
\end{align*}
\]

Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

\[
\frac{i\hbar}{\partial t} \Psi_0 (r,t) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(r) + g |\Psi_0(r,t)|^2 \right] \Psi_0 (r,t)
\]

This equation can be

- Numerically solved (GP simulations)
- Linearized for small oscillations (Bogoliubov equations)
- Rewritten in terms of density and velocity (T=0 hydrodynamics)
**Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation**

Rewritten in terms of density and velocity

Write \( \Psi_0 = \sqrt{n} e^{iS} \) with \( n = |\Psi_0|^2 \) density and \( \nu_S = (\hbar / m) \nabla S \) velocity

and insert into

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_0(r,t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi_0(r,t) + V_{\text{ext}}(r) + g |\Psi_0(r,t)|^2 \Psi_0(r,t) \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (\nu_S n) = 0 \]

\[ m \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nu_S + \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} m \nu_S^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + gn - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\sqrt{n}} \nabla^2 \sqrt{n} \right) = 0 \]

These look like hydrodynamic equations, except for quantum pressure.
Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

What is quantum pressure in terms of energy density:

\[ n = |\Psi_0|^2 \]

\[ v_s = \left( \frac{\hbar}{m} \right) \nabla S \]

GP kinetic energy:

\[ E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d\mathbf{r} |\nabla \Psi_0|^2 = \frac{m}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} v_s^2 n + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d\mathbf{r} \left( \nabla \sqrt{n} \right)^2 \]

energy of the condensate flow

quantum pressure

To be compared with mean-field energy density \( \approx gn \)

Hydrodynamic equations are obtained when quantum pressure is negligible, i.e., if during the oscillation the density varies over distances \( \lambda \) such that

\[ \frac{\hbar^2}{m\lambda^2} \ll gn \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda >> \lambda^* \]
Time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (v_s n) = 0
\]

\[
m \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_s + \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} mv_s^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + gn - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = 0
\]

Hydrodynamic equations of a superfluid at T=0

\[
\lambda \gg \xi
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (v_s n) = 0
\]

\[
m \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_s + \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} mv_s^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + gn \right) = 0
\]

Note: Planck constant has disappeared!

Superfluidity

“[…] from a modern point of view, superfluidity is not a single phenomenon but a complex of phenomena”

[A.J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 307 (2001)]

v_s is the superfluid velocity.

The velocity field is irrotational!

There is no viscosity in these equations!
Superfluidity

Landau criterion for superfluidity

Case #1: Fluid at rest in the presence of moving walls or impurities, at T=0.

The dynamics in the moving frame is governed by \( H = H_0 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} \). The fluid can absorb energy and momentum only through the creation of elementary excitations.

At T=0 excitations can be created only if \( \epsilon(p) - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} < 0 \)

If \( v_c = \min_p \frac{\epsilon(p)}{p} \neq 0 \), the fluid remains at rest for \( v \leq v_c \).

Superfluidity

Landau criterion for superfluidity

Case #2: Fluid moving in the presence of walls or impurities at rest, at T=0.

Similar arguments as before

The fluid can absorb energy and momentum only through the creation of elementary excitations.

If \( v_c = \min_p \frac{\epsilon(p)}{p} \neq 0 \), the current will not decay for \( v \leq v_c \) (persistent current).
Superfluidity

Landau criterion for superfluidity

In a dilute (weakly interacting) BEC, the spectrum of Bogoliubov quasiparticles is

\[ v_c = \min_p \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{p} = c \]

The system is superfluid: it flows without dissipation below a critical velocity!!

Superfluidity

Landau criterion for superfluidity

Case #3: fluid at rest in a rotating bucket

Dynamics in rotating frame governed by

\[ H = H_0 - \Omega \hat{l}_z \]

Fluid at rest if \( \Omega < \Omega_c \) where

\[ \Omega_c = \min_l \frac{\varepsilon(l)}{l} \]

\( \varepsilon(l) \) = energy of elementary excitation

\( l \) = angular momentum of elementary excitation

\( \Omega_c \neq 0 \) \( \iff \) Landau criterion for superfluidity
Superfluidity

Landau criterion for superfluidity

Case #3: fluid at rest in a rotating bucket

The superfluid does not follow the rotation of the bucket for small $\Omega$, but at higher $\Omega$ it can lower its energy by nucleating vortices!

Quantized vortices in BEC (Dalibard et al., 2001)
Quantized vortices in superfluid helium (Packard et al., 1979)
Superfluidity and quantized vortices

A BEC behaves as an irrotational superfluid, as a consequence of

\[ \Psi_0 = \sqrt{n} e^{iS} \]

with

\[ n = |\Psi_0|^2 \]

\[ \mathbf{v}_S = \hbar / m \nabla S \]

The velocity field is the gradient of a scalar

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_S = 0 \]

For any closed path in a simply connected geometry

\[ \oint d\ell \cdot \mathbf{v}_S = 0 \]

No rotation!

However, if the system is not simply connected (e.g., it has a hole), then one can choose a path such that

\[ \oint d\ell \cdot \mathbf{v}_S = \frac{\hbar}{m} \oint d\ell \cdot \nabla S = k \frac{\hbar}{m} \]

quantized circulation!

\[ \Delta S = 2k\pi, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \]

This condition follows from the single-valuedness of the function

\[ \Psi_0 = \sqrt{n} e^{iS} \]

Quantized vortex!
However, if the system is not simply connected (e.g., it has a hole), than one can choose a path such that

$$\oint d\ell \cdot \mathbf{v}_S = \frac{\hbar}{m} \oint d\ell \cdot \nabla S = k \frac{\hbar}{m}$$

for any closed path in a simply connected geometry.

For any closed path in a simply connected geometry

$$\oint d\ell \cdot \mathbf{v}_S = 0$$

This condition follows from the single-valuedness of the function

$$\Psi_0 = \sqrt{n} e^{i\Theta}$$

or quantized circulation in a toroidal geometry.

**Superfluidity and quantized vortices**

Vortex lattice in BEC (JILA, 2002)

Abrikosov lattice in type II superconductors
Many experiments on quantized vorticity in BECs in the last 15 years!
A lot of interesting physics: vortex nucleation, vortex arrays, fast rotations and
Lowest Landau Level regime, quantum turbulence, BKT transition in 2D,
Tkachenko waves, Kelvin modes, persistent currents in toroidal traps, etc.

For a review, see A. Fetter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 647 (2009)

Recent results in Trento: solitonic vortices in BEC of sodium.
BEC and superfluid hydrodynamics

From GP equation, neglecting quantum pressure:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (v_S n) = 0 \\
\frac{m}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_S + \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} m v_S^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + \mu(n) \right) = 0
\]

Hydrodynamic equations of a superfluid at T=0

Can be rewritten in the form

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (v_S n) = 0 \\
\frac{m}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_S + \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} m v_S^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + \mu(n) \right) = 0
\]

In this form they are more general!

This Euler equation is equivalent to the equation for the phase:

\[
\frac{\hbar}{\partial t} S = - \left( \frac{1}{2} m v_S^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + \mu \right)
\]

local chemical potential

BEC and superfluid hydrodynamics

Hydrodynamic eqs of superfluids at T=0

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (v_S n) = 0 \\
\frac{m}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_S + \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} m v_S^2 + V_{\text{ext}} + \mu(n) \right) = 0
\]

These equations can be obtained, independently of GP, starting from the equation for the bosonic field operator in uniform systems, imposing Galilean invariance, and using a local density approximation for a slowly varying order parameter.

In this context, \( n \) is the total density and the superfluid velocity is

\[
v_S = \frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla S
\]

Equations are classical (do not depend on Planck constant).

Velocity field is irrotational (role of the phase).

Condensate density does not enter HD eqs.

HD valid for macroscopic phenomena (length scales >> healing length)

HD applicable to both Bose and Fermi superfluids.

HD equations depend on equation of state \( \mu(n) \) (sensitive to quantum correlations, statistics, dimensionality, ...).

HD equations can be linearized for small oscillations.
Fermions

Ultracold fermions

- Condensation is only possible for **BOSONS**.
- **FERMIONS** behave differently, due to Pauli.

(Salomon, ENS, 2001)
Observing quantum statistics

Bosons  Fermions

810 nK
510 nK
BEC  240 nK Degenerate Fermi gas

(Rice, 2001)

Ultracold fermions

Ideal fermions in a trap

\[ V_{\text{ext}} = \frac{1}{2} m \left[ \omega_r^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2 \right] \]

if \( N \gg 1 \), \( k_B T \gg \hbar \omega_\text{ho} \) one can use semiclassical approximation

\[
f(r,p) = \frac{1}{\exp[(p^2/2m + V_{\text{ext}}(r) - \mu)/k_B T] + 1}
\]

distribution function

normalization can be written as:

\[
N = \iiint \frac{d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} f(r,p) = \frac{1}{2(\hbar \omega_\text{ho})^3} \int d\epsilon \frac{\epsilon^2}{\exp[(\epsilon - \mu)/k_B T] + 1}
\]

At \( T=0 \):
\( \mu \to E_F \); \( f(r,p) \to \Theta(p^2/2m + V_{\text{ext}} - E_F) \)

step function

One gets

\[ E_F = \hbar \omega_\text{ho} (6N)^{1/3} \]

Same dependence as BEC critical temperature

\[ k_B T_c = 0.94 \hbar \omega_\text{ho} N^{1/3} \]
### Ultracold Fermions

- For bosons, $k_B T_k < k_B T_c = 0.94 \hbar \omega N^{1/3}$
- For fermions, $k_B T_k < k_B T_F = 1.82 \hbar \omega N^{1/3}$

### Density Profile

- $T_{TF} = 0.77$
- $T_{TF} = 0.27$
- $T_{TF} = 0.11$

(Regal et al., JILA)
Another consequence of Pauli exclusion principle:
Fermions of the same atomic species and in the same spin state do not interact in s-wave scattering!!

Just a (almost) free degenerate Fermi gas…

BUT what about a mixture of two spin states or two species?

- s-wave scattering is possible and dominates at low temperature
- s-wave scattering length can be tuned thanks to Feshbach resonances
A dilute mixture of two spin states or two species, interacting via a contact interaction (low-energy s-wave scattering):

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = g \int \! d\mathbf{r} \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow^*(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow^*(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(\mathbf{r})$$

with

$$g = \frac{4 \pi \hbar^2 a}{m}$$

If $a < 0$ atoms can form bound pairs (bosons) and undergo BCS superfluidity.

**Pairing** between spin-up and -down atoms in momentum space (Cooper pairs).

**Order parameter** characterizing the long range order of two-body density matrix.

$$\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$$

Quasi-particle excitation spectrum has a gap:

$$\varepsilon(p) = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \left[ \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu \right]^2}$$

**BCS critical temperature**:

$$T_c = 0.28 T_F \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2k_F |a|} \right]$$

Note: this prefactor contains Gorkov and Melik-Barkhudarov corrections to BCS (renormalization of scattering length due to screening effects).

Same physics of weak coupling superconductors!
Ultracold fermions

A dilute mixture of two spin states or two species, interacting via a contact interaction (low-energy s-wave scattering):

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = g \int dr \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r)\hat{\Psi}_\uparrow^*(r)\hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r)\hat{\Psi}_\downarrow^*(r)$$

with

$$g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a / m$$

If $a < 0$ atoms can form bound pairs (bosons) and undergo BCS superfluidity

If $a > 0$ atoms can form bound molecules (bosons) and undergo BEC.

---

BEC of molecules

When the scattering length $a$ is positive, the interaction can produce bound molecules (bosons) of size $a$.

If $k_F|a|$ is small, the size of molecules is much smaller than the average distance between them (gas of bosonic dimers).

By solving the two-body scattering problem one finds the molecular binding energy:

$$E = -\frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2}$$

At low $T$, molecules form a BEC. The critical temperature for a gas of bosons of mass $2m$ (molecules) at density $n$ is directly related to value of Fermi energy of fermions of mass $m$ at the same density.

In a uniform gas: $T_{\text{BEC}} = 0.2T_F$

In a harmonic trap: $T_{\text{BEC}} = 0.5T_F$

Critical temperature for superfluidity is much higher in BEC than in BCS side where it is exponentially small.
Ultracold fermions

A dilute mixture of two spin states or two species, interacting via a contact interaction (low-energy s-wave scattering):

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{int}} = g \int dr \hat{\Psi}_i^*(r) \hat{\Psi}_i^*(r) \hat{\Psi}_j(r) \hat{\Psi}_j(r) \]

with \( g = 4\pi \hbar^2 a / m \)

If \( a < 0 \) atoms can form bound pairs (bosons) and undergo BCS superfluidity
If \( a > 0 \) atoms can form bound molecules (bosons) and undergo BEC.

In both cases one gets deep modifications of many-body wave function.
The ideal Fermi gas is no longer a proper starting point.

No perturbative theories
Ultracold fermions

A dilute mixture of two spin states or two species, interacting via a contact interaction (low-energy s-wave scattering):

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{int}} = g \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}) \]

with \( g = 4\pi \hbar^2 a / m \)

If \( a < 0 \) atoms can form bound pairs (bosons) and undergo BCS superfluidity
If \( a > 0 \) atoms can form bound molecules (bosons) and undergo BEC.

The scattering length can be tuned at will when the atomic species exhibits Feshbach resonances.

BCS-BEC crossover

BCS-BEC crossover

\({}^6\text{Li} \) atoms @ Innsbruck

- Bosons
- Fermions
- molecular BEC
- degenerate Fermi gas

\( n_{a^3} k_F |a| = \infty \)

\( n_{a^3} k_F |a| = 6 \)
First theoretical approach developed by Leggett (1980). Nozieres and Schmitt-Rink (1985) generalized the gap equation of BCS theory to include the whole resonance region.

Theory predicts (Randeria, 1993):
- critical temperature and equation of state as a function of dimensionless parameter $k_F a$
- formation of molecules with energy $\frac{h^2}{ma^2}$ on the BEC side $k_F a \ll 1$
- BEC of molecules interacting with scattering length $a_M = 2a$

**Theory of the BCS-BEC crossover**

Unitary regime when $k_F |a| >> 1$

At unitarity the system is strongly correlated but its properties do not depend on the value of scattering length $a$ (not even on the sign of $a$)!

The typical length scale of interaction becomes much larger than the size of the gas itself. It disappears from the description of the system.

**Universality**

All lengths disappear from the calculation of energy, chemical potential, thermodynamic functions, etc., except the interparticle distance, which is fixed by the total density of the gas $n$. 
Theory of the BCS-BEC crossover

**Unitary regime when** \( |a| \gg 1 \)

**Universality**

All lengths disappear from the calculation of energy, chemical potential, thermodynamic functions, etc., except the interparticle distance, which is fixed by the total density of the gas \( n \).

**Example:**
The equation of state of a unitary uniform gas at \( T=0 \) must exhibit the same density dependence as the ideal Fermi gas (dimensionality arguments rule out different dependences). Thus

\[
\mu = (1 + \beta) \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( 6\pi^2 n \right)^{2/3}
\]

with \( \beta = 0 \) Ideal Fermi gas

\( \beta \neq 0 \) Fermi gas at unitarity

Many-body calculations are needed to determine value of \( \beta \).

Possible comparison with experiments (see experiments on the equation of state at ENS and MIT)

The equation of state can be used to determine density profiles, release energy and collective frequencies in Thomas-Fermi approximation.
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Two-component Fermi gas as at \( T=0 \).
Many-body Hamiltonian written in terms of fermionic operators

\[
\hat{\Psi}_\uparrow, \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow, \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow, \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow
\]

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \int dr \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_\sigma} - \mu_\sigma \right] \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r') \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r')
\]

Approximation: **include** the interaction only in **pairing** correlations, treated at mean-field level. **Ignore** direct (Hartree) interaction terms proportional to the averages

\[
\langle \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow \rangle \quad \langle \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow \rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{these would give divergent terms at unitarity}
\]

One gets the BCS Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}_{BCS} = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \int dr \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_\sigma} - \mu_\sigma \right] \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) - \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \Delta(r) \left[ \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r) - (1/2) \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r) \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r) + H.c. \right] \right\}
\]

where

\[
\Delta(r) = -\int ds V(s) \langle \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r + s/2) \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r - s/2) \rangle
\]

order parameter
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\[ H_{BCS} = \sum_{n,\sigma} \int dr \hat{\Psi}_\sigma^*(r) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_{\sigma}} + \mu_{\sigma} \right] \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) - \int dr \left\{ \Delta(r) \left[ \hat{\Psi}_\sigma^*(r) \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \hat{\Psi}_\sigma^*(r) \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) \right\rangle \right] + H.c. \right\} \]

\[ \Delta(r) = -\int ds V(s) \left( \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow^*(r+s/2) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r-s/2) \right) \]

Crucial point: this Hamiltonian can be put in diagonal form by replacing particles with quasi-particles (Bogoliubov transformations)

\[ \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) = \sum_i [u_i(r) \hat{\alpha}_i + v_i(r) \hat{\beta}_i^*] \]
\[ \hat{\Psi}_\sigma(r) = \sum_i [u_i(r) \hat{\beta}_i + v_i(r) \hat{\alpha}_i^*] \]

\[ \hat{H}_{BCS} = (E_0 - \mu N) + \sum_i \varepsilon_i (\hat{\alpha}_i^* \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_i^* \hat{\beta}_i) \]

a gas of independent quasi-particles

The quasi-particle operators obey the anti-commutation rules \( \{ \hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\alpha}_j^* \} = \{ \hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j^* \} = \delta_{ij} \)

The quasiparticle amplitudes obey \( \int dr [u_i^*(r)u_j(r) + v_i^*(r)v_j(r)] = \delta_{ij} \)
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\[ \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(r) = \sum [u_i(r) \hat{\alpha}_i + v_i(r) \hat{\beta}_i^*] \]
\[ \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(r) = \sum [u_i(r) \hat{\beta}_i + v_i(r) \hat{\alpha}_i^*] \]

The diagonalization of the Hamiltonian gives the equations for the quasi-particle amplitudes. In general, including the case of a Fermi gas in an external potential, these equations have the form

\[ \begin{pmatrix} H_0(r) & \Delta(r) \\ \Delta^*(r) & -H_0(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_i(r) \\ v_i(r) \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_i \begin{pmatrix} u_i(r) \\ v_i(r) \end{pmatrix} \]

Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

with \( H_0(r) = \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(r) - \mu \)

The order parameter can be obtained by means of a self-consistent procedure (a proper regularization of the interaction is needed):

\[ \Delta(r) = -g \sum_i u_i(r) v_i^*(r) \]
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Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r) \\
\Delta^*(r) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
U_i(r) \\
V_i(r)
\end{pmatrix}
= E_i
\begin{pmatrix}
U_i(r) \\
V_i(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Fermions

\[H_i(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(r) - \mu\]

Note: remarkable similarity with Bogoliubov equations for excitations (quasi-particles) in BECs

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & g\Psi^2_0 \\
-g\Psi^2_0 & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
U_i(r) \\
V_i(r)
\end{pmatrix}
= E_i
\begin{pmatrix}
U_i(r) \\
V_i(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Bosons

\[H_i(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(r) - \mu + 2g|\Psi_0(r)|^2\]

Note: some slides ago, we wrote the same eqs in this form:

\[\hbar \omega_u = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext} - \mu + 2gn_u \]
\[\hbar \omega_v = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext} - \mu + 2gn_v \]
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Fermions vs. Bosons

Bogoliubov equations for BECs and Bogoliubov-de Gennes for fermions are two implementations of the same idea: Bogoliubov transformation, i.e., diagonalization of the many-body Hamiltonian by replacing particle operators by quasi-particle operators.

one of the key concepts in many-body theories
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Fermions vs. Bosons

Bogoliubov equations for BECs and Bogoliubov-de Gennes for fermions are two implementations of the same idea: Bogoliubov transformation, i.e., diagonalization of the many-body Hamiltonian by replacing particle operators by quasi-particle operators.

Important difference:

Due to macroscopic occupation of a single state, in BEC the order parameter is obtained expanding the Hamiltonian in \( \frac{d}{d\Psi(r)} \) where \( \Psi(r) = \Psi_0(r) + \delta \Psi(r) \). At zero-order one has the GP equation for \( \Psi_0(r) \). At first-order one gets the Bogoliubov equations for bosonic excitations.

Conversely, in the BCS-BEC theory for fermions, there is no zero-order. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations give the order parameter itself, together with all fermionic excitations (but no bosonic excitations, such as phonons...). The ground state is the vacuum of quasi-particles.
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Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

The mean-field theory based on BdG equation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r) \\
\Delta^*(r) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r) \\
v_i(r)
\end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_i
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r) \\
v_i(r)
\end{pmatrix} \\
H_0(r) = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext}(r) - \mu
\]

- gives the correct limit of free fermions in external potentials for \( a=0^- \)
- gives the correct GP equation for a BEC of molecules of mass \( 2m \) for \( a=0^+ \)
- gives a smooth crossover from BCS to BEC, including unitarity.
- it is accurate enough for many purposes.
- It misses important corrections to the ideal Fermi gas for small negative \( a \).
- It gives the wrong scattering length for molecule-molecule interaction.
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Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r) \\
\Delta^*(r) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r) \\
v_i^*(r)
\end{pmatrix}
= \varepsilon_i
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r) \\
v_i^*(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[H_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(r) - \mu\]
\[\Delta(r) = -g \sum_i \nu_i(r) \nu_i^*(r) \quad \text{order parameter}\]
\[n(r) = 2 \sum_i |\nu_i(r)|^2 \quad \text{density}\]

Example:
a dark soliton in a uniform Fermi superfluid

Antezza et al., PRA 76, 043610 (2007)
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**Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations**

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r) \\
\Delta^*(r) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r) \\
v_i^*(r)
\end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_i
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r) \\
v_i^*(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- \( H_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ex}(r) - \mu \)
- \( \Delta(r) = -g \sum_i u_i(r) v_i^*(r) \) order parameter
- \( n(r) = 2 \sum_i |v_i(r)|^2 \) density

As in the case of GP equation, they can be written as equations for the time evolution (time-dependent BsG equations)

**Time-dependent Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations**

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r,t) \\
\Delta^*(r,t) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r,t) \\
v_i^*(r,t)
\end{pmatrix} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r,t) \\
v_i^*(r,t)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- \( H_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ex}(r) - \mu \)
- \( \Delta(r,t) = -g \sum_i u_i(r,t) v_i^*(r,t) \)
- \( n(r,t) = 2 \sum_i |v_i(r,t)|^2 \)

Also these equations can be solved numerically.
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Time-dependent Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r, t) \\
\Delta^*(r, t) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
U_i(r, t) \\
V_i(r, t)
\end{pmatrix}
= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\begin{pmatrix}
U_i(r, t) \\
V_i(r, t)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
H_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r) - \mu
\]

\[
\Delta(r, t) = -g \sum_i U_i(r, t)V_i^*(r, t)
\]

\[
n(r, t) = 2 \sum_i |V_i(r, t)|^2
\]

Example:
a soliton oscillating in a trapped Fermi gas

Scott et al., PRL 106, 185301 (2011)

Important remark:
time-dependent BdG include both bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom!
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Time-dependent Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r,t) \\
\Delta^*(r,t) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r,t) \\
v_i^*(r,t)
\end{pmatrix} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r,t) \\
v_i^*(r,t)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
H_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r) - \mu
\]

\[
\Delta(r,t) = -g \sum_i u_i(r,t)v_i^*(r,t)
\]

\[
n(r,t) = 2 \sum_i |v_i(r,t)|^2
\]

Important remark:

Time-dependent BdG include both bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom!

Here a soliton becomes unstable because pair-breaking near the soliton (fermionic excitations) generates phonons (bosonic collective excitations).
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Time-dependent Bogoliubov – de Gennes equations

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H_0(r) & \Delta(r,t) \\
\Delta^*(r,t) & -H_0(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r,t) \\
v_i^*(r,t)
\end{pmatrix} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_i(r,t) \\
v_i^*(r,t)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
H_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r) - \mu
\]

\[
\Delta(r,t) = -g \sum_i u_i(r,t)v_i^*(r,t)
\]

\[
n(r,t) = 2 \sum_i |v_i(r,t)|^2
\]

Important remark:

Time-dependent BdG include both bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom!

Here two solitons collide producing sound waves (bosonic excitations) as a result of inelastic processes induced by the dynamics of fermionic quasiparticles.
Other theories for the BCS-BEC crossover

• Effective GP equation (local chemical potential of Fermi gas replacing the nonlinear term of GP equation; only bosonic degrees of freedom; no pair-breaking)

• Density Functional Theory beyond BdG (free parameters fixed from ab initio calculations)

• Diagrammatic many-body approaches (T-matrix, etc.)

• Exact asymptotic results at unitarity (Tan contact)

• and, of course, Monte Carlo simulations

and others…