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## The Lazard correspondence

Definition. The Lazard correspondence is an isomorphism between the categories of nilpotent Lie rings with order $p^{n}$ and nilpotency class $c$ and finite $p$-groups with the same order and nilpotency class, provided $c<p$.

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula and its inverses, it is possible to define:

- a group structure on a Lie ring of order $p^{n}$ and nilpotency class $<p$;
- a Lie ring structure on a $p$-group of class $<p$.

These operations are mutually inverse. The same set gets the structure of a Lie ring and of a $p$-group.

We have developed algorithms to carry out this correspondence.

## The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

Let $x$ and $y$ be non-commutative indeterminates over $\mathbb{Q}$, then

$$
e^{x} e^{y} \neq e^{x+y}
$$

The product $e^{x} e^{y}$ was studied by Campbell in 1898, Baker in 1905 and Hausdorff in 1906.

The BCH-formula asserts $e^{x} e^{y}=e^{z(x, y)}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(x, y)= & x+y+\frac{1}{2}[x, y]+\frac{1}{12}[x, x, y]-\frac{1}{12}[y, x, y] \\
& -\frac{1}{24}[y, x, x, y]-\frac{1}{720}[x, x, x, x, y]+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bracket is the commutator defined as $[x, y]:=x y-y x$ and we use the right normed convention.

Many authors, as Dynkin in 1947, Goldberg in 1956, Reinsch in 2000 and Casas and Murua in 2009, found methods to compute the coefficients of $z(x, y)$.

Remark. For $x$ and $y$ in a nilpotent Lie ring, $z(x, y)$ is a finite sum and the denominators of the coefficients only have prime factors smaller then $c+1$.

Example. If $c=5$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(x, y)= & x+y+\frac{1}{2}[x, y]+\frac{1}{12}[x, x, y]-\frac{1}{12}[y, x, y]-\frac{1}{24}[y, x, x, y] \\
& -\frac{1}{720}[x, x, x, x, y]-\frac{1}{120}[x, y, x, x, y]-\frac{1}{360}[x, y, y, x, y] \\
& +\frac{1}{360}[y, x, x, x, y]+\frac{1}{120}[y, y, x, x, y]+\frac{1}{720}[y, y, y, x, y]
\end{aligned}
$$

where all denominators involve only prime factors 2,3 and 5 .

## Commutators

A similar formula follows from $z$ for the commutator:

$$
\llbracket e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket:=e^{-x} e^{-y} e^{x} e^{y}=e^{w(x, y)}
$$

where $w(x, y)$ is an infinite sum of Lie elements.
We have found a method for computing explicitly this formula.
The first few components of $w$ are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, y)= & {[x, y]-\frac{1}{2}[x, x, y]-\frac{1}{2}[y, x, y]+\frac{1}{6}[x, x, x, y] } \\
& +\frac{1}{4}[y, x, x, y]+\frac{1}{6}[y, y, x, y]-\frac{1}{24}[x, x, x, x, y]+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We use $z$ and $w$ to go from a Lie ring $L$ of order $p^{n}$ and nilpotency class $c<p$ to a $p$-group, with the same order and nilpotency class, in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a b= & z(a, b)=a+b+\frac{1}{2}[a, b]+\frac{1}{12}[a, a, b]-\frac{1}{12}[b, a, b] \\
& -\frac{1}{24}[b, a, a, b]-\frac{1}{720}[a, a, a, a, b]+\ldots, \\
\llbracket a, b \rrbracket= & w(a, b)=[a, b]-\frac{1}{2}[a, a, b]-\frac{1}{2}[b, a, b]+\frac{1}{6}[a, a, a, b] \\
& +\frac{1}{4}[b, a, a, b]+\frac{1}{6}[b, b, a, b]-\frac{1}{24}[a, a, a, a, b]+\ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b \in L$.

## The inverse formulas

We can invert the previous formulas to go from a p-group to a Lie ring.

We have found a general formula for the repeated commutators of $e^{x}$ and $e^{y}$ :

$$
\llbracket e^{x_{i_{k}}}, \ldots, e^{x_{i_{1}}}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket=e^{V}
$$

where $x_{i_{k}}, \ldots, x_{i_{1}} \in\{x, y\}$ and $V$ is an infinite sum of Lie elements.
This formula is obtained inductively, that is, if we put

$$
\llbracket e^{x_{i_{k-1}}}, \ldots, e^{x_{i_{1}}}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket=e^{V^{\prime}}
$$

we have

$$
e^{V}=\llbracket e^{x_{i k}}, e^{V^{\prime}} \rrbracket=e^{w\left(x_{i k}, V^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

The first inverse formula is

$$
e^{x+y}=h_{1}\left(e^{x}, e^{y}\right)=e^{x} e^{y} \prod_{i \geq 2} e^{\alpha_{i} V_{i}}
$$

where $e^{V_{i}}$ are commutators in $e^{x}$ and $e^{y}$ and the exponents $\alpha_{i}$ are in $\mathbb{Q}$ (they depend on the order in which the $V_{i}$ are listed).

Similarly, the second inverse formula is

$$
e^{[x, y]}=h_{2}\left(e^{x}, e^{y}\right)=\llbracket e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket \prod_{i \geq 3} e^{\beta_{i} v_{i}}
$$

We have found a method for computing explicitly $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$.
Theorem. To find the $\alpha_{i}$ for all commutators of length $t$ in $h_{1}$ we have to solve the following equation:

$$
\left[e^{x} e^{y} \prod_{i \geq 2} e^{\alpha_{i} v_{i}}\right]_{t}=\frac{1}{t!}(x+y)^{t}
$$

Similarly to find the $\beta_{i}$ for the length $t$ in $h_{2}$ the equation is:

$$
\left[\llbracket e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket \prod_{i \geq 3} e^{\beta_{i} v_{i}}\right]_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)!}[x, y]^{\frac{t}{2}}, \text { if } t \text { is even } \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Constructing $h_{1}$

For $t=2$ we put $e^{V_{2}}:=e^{w}=\llbracket e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket$. We consider

$$
\left[e^{x} e^{y} e^{\alpha_{2} w}\right]_{2}=\left[\left(1+z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}\right)\left(1+\alpha_{2} w\right)\right]_{2}
$$

Expanding the equation becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2}[x, y]+\frac{1}{2}(x+y)^{2}+\alpha_{2}[x, y]=\frac{1}{2}(x+y)^{2} \Rightarrow \alpha_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} .
$$

For $t=3$ we put $e^{V_{3}}:=\llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket$ and $e^{V_{4}}:=\llbracket e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket$. Then $\left[e^{x} e^{y} e^{-\frac{1}{2} w} e^{\alpha_{3} V_{3}} e^{\alpha_{4} V_{4}}\right]_{3}=\left[\left(1+z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{6} z^{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2} w\right)\left(1+\alpha_{3} V_{3}\right)\left(1+\alpha_{4} V_{4}\right)\right]_{3}$,
and we obtain

$$
\left(\alpha_{3}+\frac{1}{12}\right)[x, x, y]+\left(\alpha_{4}-\frac{1}{12}\right)[y, x, y]=0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{3}=-\frac{1}{12} \text { and } \alpha_{4}=\frac{1}{12} .
$$

For $t=4$, we put $e^{V_{5}}:=\llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket, e^{V_{6}}:=\llbracket e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket$, $e^{V_{7}}:=\llbracket e^{x}, e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket, e^{V_{8}}:=\llbracket e^{y}, e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket$.

The equation becomes
$\left(\alpha_{5}+\frac{1}{24}\right)[x, x, x, y]+\left(\alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}\right)[y, x, x, y]+\left(\alpha_{8}-\frac{1}{24}\right)[y, y, x, y]=0$,
hence $\alpha_{5}=-\frac{1}{24}, \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}=0$ e $\alpha_{8}=\frac{1}{24}$.
If we choose $\alpha_{6}=\alpha_{7}=0$ we obtain

$$
h_{1}\left(e^{x}, e^{y}\right)=e^{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{12} V_{3}} e^{\frac{1}{12} V_{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{24} V_{5}} e^{\frac{1}{24} V_{8}} \ldots .
$$

The first few components of $h_{1}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}\left(e^{x}, e^{y}\right)= & e^{x} e^{y} \llbracket e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{12}} \llbracket e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{12}} \\
& \llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{24}} \llbracket e^{y}, e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{24}} \\
& \llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{-\frac{19}{720}} \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $h_{2}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2}\left(e^{x}, e^{y}\right)= & \llbracket e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket \llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket \frac{1}{2} \llbracket e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
& \llbracket e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{4}} \llbracket e^{y}, e^{y}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
& \llbracket e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{x}, e^{y} \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Setting up the correspondence

We use $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ to go from a $p$-group $G$ of order $p^{n}$ and nilpotency class $c<p$ to a Lie ring of the same order and nilpotency class in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g+h= & h_{1}(g, h)=g h \llbracket g, h \rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket g, g, h \rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{12}} \llbracket h, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{12}} \\
& \llbracket g, g, g, h \rrbracket^{-\frac{1}{24}} \llbracket h, h, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{24}} \llbracket g, g, g, g, h \rrbracket^{-\frac{19}{720}} \cdots, \\
{[g, h]=} & h_{2}(g, h)=\llbracket g, h \rrbracket \llbracket g, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket h, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket g, g, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
& \llbracket h, g, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{4}} \llbracket h, h, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{3}} \llbracket g, g, g, g, h \rrbracket^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g, h \in G$.

Let $G$ be a $p$-group of nilpotency class $c<p$. There is a presentation of $G$, called the power-commutator presentation, with generators $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ and relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i}^{p} & =g_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}^{(i)}} \cdots g_{n}^{\alpha_{n}^{(i)}}, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text { and } \alpha_{k}^{(i)}<p ; \\
\llbracket g_{j}, g_{i} \rrbracket & =g_{j+1}^{\beta_{j+1}^{(i, j)}} \cdots g_{n}^{\beta_{n}^{(i, j)}}, \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq n \text { and } \beta_{k}^{(i, j)}<p .
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $g \in G$, there are $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}<p$ such that

$$
g=g_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots g_{n}^{\lambda_{n}} .
$$

Theorem. Let $g=g_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots g_{n}^{\lambda_{n}} \in G$, for $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}<p$. There are $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}<p$ such that

$$
g=\mu_{1} g_{1}+\ldots+\mu_{n} g_{n}
$$

Proof. By the Lazard correspondence

$$
\begin{gathered}
g=z\left(g_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}, g_{2}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots g_{n}^{\lambda_{n}}\right) \Rightarrow g=\lambda_{1} g_{1}+g^{\prime} \Rightarrow g^{\prime}=-\lambda_{1} g_{1}+g \\
g_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}=\lambda_{1} g_{1} \Rightarrow g^{\prime}=g_{1}^{-\lambda_{1}}+g
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
g^{\prime}=h_{1}\left(g_{1}^{-\lambda_{1}}, g\right)=g_{1}^{-\lambda_{1}} g \tilde{g}=g_{2}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots g_{n}^{\lambda_{n}} \tilde{g}
$$

where $\tilde{g} \in\left\langle g_{3}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$. It follows that $g^{\prime} \in\left\langle g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$ and we can repeat the reasoning for $g^{\prime}$ an so on. $\square$

In order to compute the Lie ring structure of $G$ we transform the relations of $G$ into relations that hold in the Lie ring.
For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ we have

$$
p g_{i}=g_{i}^{p}=g_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots g_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}=\beta_{i+1} g_{i+1}+\ldots+\beta_{n} g_{n}
$$

and

$$
\left[g_{j}, g_{i}\right]=h_{2}\left(g_{j}, g_{i}\right)=g_{j+1}^{\gamma_{j+1}} \cdots g_{n}^{\gamma_{n}}=\delta_{j+1} g_{j+1}+\ldots+\delta_{n} g_{n}
$$

for some $\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}, \gamma_{k}, \delta_{k}<p$.
Remark. Once we have the Lie ring structure on $G$ we have two representation of a $g \in G$, a product representation (coming from the group structure) and a sum representation (coming from the Lie ring structure).
We can use the BCH-formula to efficiently switch between the representations.

## Implementations

We have implemented the algorithms in Magma with a 3.16 GHz processor.

|  | $h_{1}$ |  | $h_{2}$ |  | BCH |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| weight | time | \# terms | time | \# terms | time | \# terms |
| 12 | 526 | 1519 | 433 | 1517 | 0.13 | 985 |
| 13 | 2329 | 3055 | 2013 | 3053 | 0.47 | 2521 |
| 14 | 11137 | 6111 | 12493 | 6109 | 0.92 | 4056 |

Remark. The number of terms of the BCH -formula roughly doubles with each increase of the weight. The running times much more than double. So it will be possible to go a bit further (until weight 15 or 16), but we cannot realistically hope to go much beyond that.

The main operation for performing the Lazard correspondence is the evaluation of the BCH -formula for given $x, y$ of a nilpotent Lie ring, and the formulae for $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ for given $g, h$ of a $p$-group.

In order to do this efficiently, we encode these formulae as labeled binary trees.


Figure: Tree corresponding to the part of the BCH-formula up to weight 5


- The edges of the tree are labeled $x$ or $y$;
- the root of the tree corresponds to $[x, y]$;

■ every node corresponds to a commutator;

- every node has a label, which is the coefficient of the corresponding commutator in the BCH -formula;
■ in order to determine the commutator corresponding to any other node, we take the path to the root, and record the labels.

Main advantage: when evaluating $z(x, y)$ we find that a certain commutator $u$ in $x$ and $y$ is zero, then we can discard the entire subtree below the node corresponding to $u$.


If, for example, $[y, x, x, y]=0$ the tree becomes:


## A GAP package: LieRing

We have written a GAP package, LieRing that contains, among other things, an implementation of the algorithms for computing the Lazard correspondence. The package is able to deal with groups and rings up to class 14.

In particular it contains two functions:

- PGroupToLieRing: it computes the Lie ring structure of a $p$-group of class $<p$.
■ LieRingToPGroup: it computes the group structure of a Lie ring of order $p^{n}$ and class $<p$.

The functions PGroupToLieRing and LieRingToPGroup both return a record with 4 components:

- pgroup: the group;

■ liering: the Lie ring;

- GtoL: a function mapping elements of the group to elements of the Lie ring;
- LtoG: a function mapping elements of the Lie ring to elements of the group.


## Example. We take a 13 -group $G$ of class 5 .

```
gap> F := FreeGroup(IsSyllableWordsFamily,"a","b","c","d", "e", "f", "g");;
gap> a := F.1;; b := F.2;; c := F.3;; d := F.4;; e := F.5;; f := F.6;; g:=F.7;;
gap> rels := [ a^13, b^13/g, c^13, d^13, e^13, f^13, g^13,
> Comm(b,a)/c, Comm(c,a)/d, Comm(d,a)/e, Comm(e,a)/f, Comm(f,a), Comm(g,a),
> Comm(c,b)/(g^11), Comm(d,b)/g, Comm(e,b)/g, Comm(g,b), Comm(d,c)/(g^12),
> Comm(e,c), Comm(f,c), Comm(g,c), Comm(e,d), Comm(f,d), Comm(g,d), Comm(f,e),
> Comm(g,e), Comm(g,f)];;
gap> G := PcGroupFpGroup( F/rels );
<pc group of size 62748517 with 7 generators>
gap> r:= PGroupToLieRing(G);
rec( pgroup := <pc group of size 62748517 with 7 generators>,
liering := <Lie ring with 6 generators>,
GtoL := function( g0 ) ... end, LtoG := function( x0 ) ... end )
gap> f:= r.GtoL; h:= r.LtoG;
function( g0 ) ... end
function( x0 ) ... end
gap> L:= r.liering;
<Lie ring with 6 generators>
gap> b:= Basis(L);
Basis( <Lie ring with 6 generators>, [ v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6 ] )
gap> h(b[1]);
a^12*c*d^5*e^3*f^8*g^7
gap> f(h(b[1]));
v_1
```


## Example. We take a nilpotent Lie ring $K$ of class 4 and order $7^{7}$.

```
gap> L:= FreeLieRing( Integers, ["a","b","c"] );;
gap> a:= L.1;; b:= L.2;; c:= L.3;;
gap> rels:= [( (b*a)*b, c*a, c*b-(b*a)*a, 7^ 2*a, 7*b-((b*a)*a)*a, 7*c-((b*a)*a)*a];;
gap> K:= FpLieRing( L, rels );
<Lie ring with 5 generators>
gap> r:= LieRingToPGroup(K);
rec( pgroup := <pc group of size 823543 with 7 generators>,
liering := <Lie ring with 5 generators>,
LtoG := function( x0 ) ... end, GtoL := function( g0 ) ... end )
gap> G:= r.pgroup;; f:= r.LtoG;; h:= r.GtoL;;
gap> u:= Random(K);
6*v_1+3*v_2+6*v_3+46*v_4+47*v_5
gap> f(u);
f1^6*f2^4*f3^5*f4^2*f5^4*f6^5*f7^4
gap> h(f(u));
6*v_1+3*v_2+6*v_3+46*v_4+47*v_5
```


## An application of the Lazard correspondence: non-commuting graphs

Definition. Let $G$ be a non abelian group with center $Z(G)$. The non-commuting graph of $G$, denoted by $\Gamma(G)$, is the graph with vertices the elements of $G \backslash Z(G)$ and where

$$
g \sim h \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \llbracket g, h \rrbracket \neq 1
$$

Problem. Is it possible that two non-isomorphic groups have isomorphic non-commuting graphs?
Answer. Yes!
We prove that by means of 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie rings and the Lazard correspondence.
Definition. Let $L$ be a Lie ring with center $Z(L)$. The non-commuting graph of $L$, denoted by $\Gamma(L)$, is the graph with vertices the elements of $L \backslash Z(L)$ and

$$
x \sim y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad[x, y] \neq 0
$$

Consider the nilpotent Lie rings $L_{1} \not \neq L_{2}$ of order $p^{6}$, class $3<p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} & :=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{6} \mid\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]=y_{4},\left[y_{1}, y_{3}\right]=y_{5},\left[y_{2}, y_{4}\right]=y_{6},\left[y_{3}, y_{5}\right]=y_{6}\right\rangle, \\
L_{2} & :=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6} \mid\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=x_{4},\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right]=x_{5},\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right]=x_{6},\left[x_{3}, x_{5}\right]=2 x_{6}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim. $\Gamma\left(L_{1}\right) \cong \Gamma\left(L_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Let $u_{1}=\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{6} x_{6}, u_{2}=\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{6} x_{6} \in L_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]_{L_{2}}=} & \left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right) x_{4}+\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}\right) x_{5} \\
& +\left(\alpha_{2} \beta_{4}-\alpha_{4} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{3} \beta_{5}-2 \alpha_{5} \beta_{3}\right) x_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\psi: L_{2} \rightarrow L_{1}$ with $\psi\left(x_{5}\right)=2 y_{5}$ and $\psi\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for $i \neq 5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\psi\left(u_{1}\right), \psi\left(u_{2}\right)\right]_{L_{1}}=}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}\right) y_{4}+\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}\right) y_{5} \\
&+\left(\alpha_{2} \beta_{4}-\alpha_{4} \beta_{2}+2 \alpha_{3} \beta_{5}-2 \alpha_{5} \beta_{3}\right) y_{6} . \\
& \Rightarrow \quad u_{1} \sim u_{2} \Leftrightarrow \psi\left(u_{1}\right) \sim \psi\left(u_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma\left(L_{2}\right) \cong \Gamma\left(L_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Lazard correspondence, to $L_{2}$ and $L_{1}$ correspond two $p$-groups $G_{2}$ and $G_{1}$.

Remark. Let $L$ be a Lie ring of order $p^{n}$ and nilpotency class at most $c<p$ and let $G$ be the $p$-group that corresponds to $L$. For all $x, y \in L$ we have

$$
0 \mapsto 1, \quad Z(L) \mapsto Z(G), \quad \text { and } \quad[x, y]=0 \Leftrightarrow \llbracket g, h \rrbracket=1
$$

where $x \mapsto g$ and $y \mapsto h$.
Conclusion. $G_{2} \not \not G_{1}$ and $\Gamma\left(G_{2}\right) \cong \Gamma\left(G_{1}\right)$.

## Thank you!

